



Docket No.: 392.1817

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kiyoshi TAGAMI et al.

Serial No. 10/662,306

Group Art Unit: 2834

Confirmation No. 1771

Filed: September 16, 2003

Examiner: Leda T. Pham

For: ELECTRIC MOTOR AND METHOD OF INSULATION BETWEEN COILS OF
DIFFERENT PHASES OF ELECTRIC MOTOR

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner provided a Statement of Reasons for Allowance in which the Examiner indicated that some of the claims were allowed based on certain features.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

On page 2 of the Notice of Allowability, the Examiner takes the position that the Prior Art fails to show certain features. It is submitted that the Examiner's statement is not accurate with respect to all claims. For example, claim 8 does not recite "positioned away from a centerline of the folding portion" as suggested by the Examiner. As such, it is submitted that the Examiner's statement is not a suitable reason for allowance.




Serial No. 10/662,306

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 2-9-06

By: 
John C. Garvey
Registration No. 28,607

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501